

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES
FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA
NORTHERN DIVISION

DANIEL STRICKLAND, #201890,)	
)	
Petitioner,)	
v.)	CASE NO. 2:07-cv-0979-MEF
)	
WARDEN BOYD, <i>et al.</i> ,)	
)	
Respondents.)	

ORDER

On March 31, 2008 (Doc. #19), the petitioner filed a Notice of Appeal which the court construes to contain a Motion for Certificate of Appealability.

In April 1996, 28 U.S.C.A. § 2253 was amended to provide that a "certificate of appealability" rather than a "certificate of probable cause" is necessary before a petitioner may pursue an appeal in a habeas corpus proceeding. To obtain a certificate of appealability, the prisoner must make a "substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C.A. § 2253(c)(2).¹ The court finds that the petitioner has failed to make a "substantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C.A. § 2253 (c)(2).

¹ The standard for a certificate of appealability is that the petitioner must "make a 'substantial showing of the denial of [a] federal right.'" *Barefoot v. Estelle*, 463 U.S. 880, 893 (1983) (quoting *Stewart v. Beto*, 454 F.2d 268, 270 n.2 (5th Cir. 1971), *cert. denied*, 406 U.S. 925, (1972)). The Supreme Court further explained that "in requiring a 'question of some substance,' or a 'substantial showing of the denial of [a] federal right,' obviously the petitioner need not show that he should prevail on the merits. He has already failed in that endeavor. Rather, he must demonstrate that the issues are debatable among jurists of reason; that a court *could* resolve the issues [in a different manner]; or that the questions are 'adequate to deserve encouragement to proceed further.'" *Id.* at 893 n.4, (quoting *Gordon v. Willis*, 516 F. Supp. 911, 913 (N.D.Ga. 1980)).

Accordingly, it is ORDERED that petitioner's request for a certificate of appealability is DENIED.

DONE this the 4th day of April, 2008.

/s/ Mark E. Fuller
CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE